We are writing to express our concerns over this proposal.

We live in Cawston and our house fronts onto the B1145, very close to the traffic.

We realise that the 7th November deadline has passed, however the first time our attention was brought to the direct impact of this scheme on us was a letter from Ørsted dated 5th November. Thus we had no time to research and respond by the deadline.

The letter was described as an "update", but, on querying this, Ørsted's response was that we had not been sent previous newsletters, etc, as "Cawston Village is not directly on our cable route". We feel that this is unacceptable when Cawston is clearly a key site for traffic issues.

We have now engaged with them and told them that we would be interested in finding out about participating in their noise and vibration testing process.

In principle we are strongly in favour of schemes such as renewable energy which serve to protect and improve the environment, but we do feel that the philosophy of protecting the wider environment should not rest on destroying some local environments, which is what will happen if this proposal goes ahead in its current form.

The B1145 and other roads in this area are simply unsuitable for the types and volumes of traffic proposed.

- The levels of noise and vibration in the centre of the village will be intolerable and there are real safety concerns.
- this is a twisty B road, unsuitable for HGVs, narrow and impossible in many places for two large vehicles to pass one another safely.
- in the village there are narrow pavements and several blind junctions, where traffic on the side road has to creep into the main road to see what is coming
- the old railway bridge near the village hall is on a blind bend. We also wonder whether it has sufficient weight bearing capacity.
- a double bend between Cawston and Salle is exceptionally tight, you often need to stop and back up to allow a non HGV lorry to get round.
- there is a village junior school, buses, school buses collecting senior pupils, shops, pub and houses close to the narrow road - a constant need for pedestrians to cross the road throughout the day.
- air quality in the centre of the village is another concern, as is light pollution.

We get no sense that factors like these have been considered in the proposal.

We hope that you are able to include these views in your assessments, and look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

Helen & Chris Monk

Sent from my iPad

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com